

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,333	11/14/2001	Larry Wayne Oberley	875.042US1	5690
	7590 12/10/2003		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			SCHULTZ, JAMES	
	P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			PAPER NUMBER
MINIVERIOLIS, MIN 33402			ART UNIT	. THE EXTROMPEN

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/993,333	OBERLEY ET AL.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	J. Douglas Schultz	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) In the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) $\boxtimes$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 20 and 21, for reasons of record.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5-8, and 11-19, for reasons of record.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ approved or b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other: See Continuation Sheet					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/993,333

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants have amended the claims in such a manner as to require a new search, and would raise new considerations. For example, applicants now recite each target transcript in a separate new claim, and have amended said targets such that they now must be of human origin. Since such issues were not raised during prosecution, they were not specifically searched or considered, thus require new considerations.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is drawn to applicants claims as amended. Since said amendment has not been entered, applicants arguments drawn thereto are not considered convincing.

Continuation of 10. Other: The information disclosure statement filed October 27, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

1635